

Explicit multipole moments of stationary axisymmetric spacetimes

Thomas Bäckdahl*, Magnus Herberthson*

Abstract

In this article we study multipole moments of axisymmetric stationary asymptotically flat spacetimes. We show how the tensorial recursion of Geroch and Hansen can be reduced to a recursion of scalar functions. We also demonstrate how a careful choice of conformal factor collects all moments into one complex valued function on $\mathbb{R}$, where the moments appear as the derivatives at 0. As an application, we calculate the moments of the Kerr solution. We also discuss the freedom in choosing the potential for the moments.

1 Introduction

The relativistic multipole moments of stationary spacetimes have been defined by Hansen [11]. This definition is an extension of the static case considered by Geroch [9], and apart from a slightly different setup due to the possible angular momentum, the recursive definitions of the moments in [11] and [9] are the same. The Hansen formulation reduces to the Geroch formulation in the static case but with a different potential. In section 7 we conclude that these two potentials indeed give the same multipole moments in the general axisymmetric static case. Beig [1] defined a generalisation of centre of mass so that the expansion of the Hansen moments around this 'point' determines the multipole moments uniquely. Thorne [21] gave another definition of multipole moments which is known [10] to be equivalent to the Hansen formulation if the spacetime has non-zero mass. There are also other definitions of multipole moments [1], [6], [4], [13] which will not be considered here. See for instance [16] for further details about these moments.

The recursive definition of multipole moments of Geroch and Hansen (1) takes place in a conformal compactification of the 3-manifold of Killing-trajectories. The recursion produces a family of totally symmetric and trace-free tensors, which are to be evaluated at a certain point, and the values will then provide the moments of the spacetime in question. Even in the case of axisymmetric spacetimes, the actual calculations of the tensors in (1) are non-trivial.

In [12], it was shown how the moments in the axisymmetric static case can be obtained through a set of recursively defined real valued functions $\{f_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ on $\mathbb{R}$. The moments are then given by the values $\{f_n(0)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. In this way, one can easily calculate 'any' desired number of moments. By exploring the

*Department of Mathematics, Linköping University, SE-581 83 Linköping, Sweden.
e-mail: thbac@mai.liu.se, maher@mai.liu.se

conformal freedom of the construction, it was also shown how all moments could be captured in one real valued function y , where the moments appeared as the derivatives of y at 0. In this paper we show that a similar scalar recursion can be found in the stationary axisymmetric case. The family of real valued functions will be replaced by a family of complex valued functions, allowing for the angular moment parts. It will also be possible to collect all moments into a complex valued function y , where the moments appear as the derivatives of y at 0. Again, the complex part of y is related to the angular moment parts of the multipole moments. The derivation in [12] used a two-surface S , which reflected the axisymmetry of the spacetime. In this paper we will produce the scalar recursion directly, with the methods presented in section 3.

As an application, we will calculate the multipole moments of the Kerr solution. Another issue is the choice of potential. We will show that the potential used by Hansen and the Ernst potential [7], [8] (as well as a large class of other potentials) give the same multipole-moments.

2 Multipole moments of stationary spacetimes

In this section we quote the definition given by Hansen in [11]. We thus consider a stationary spacetime (M, g_{ab}) with time-like Killing vector field ξ^a . We let $\lambda = -\xi^a \xi_a$ be the norm, and define the twist ω through $\nabla_a \omega = \epsilon_{abcd} \xi^b \nabla^c \xi^d$. If V is the 3-manifold of trajectories, the metric g_{ab} (with signature $(-, +, +, +)$) induces the positive definite metric

$$h_{ab} = \lambda g_{ab} + \xi_a \xi_b$$

on V . It is required that V is asymptotically flat, i.e., there exists a 3-manifold $\tilde{V}$ and a conformal factor Ω satisfying

- (i) $\tilde{V} = V \cup \Lambda$, where Λ is a single point
- (ii) $\tilde{h}_{ab} = \Omega^2 h_{ab}$ is a smooth metric on $\tilde{V}$
- (iii) At Λ , $\Omega = 0$, $\tilde{D}_a \Omega = 0$, $\tilde{D}_a \tilde{D}_b \Omega = 2\tilde{h}_{ab}$,

where $\tilde{D}_a$ is the derivative operator associated with $\tilde{h}_{ab}$. On M , and/or V one defines the scalar potential

$$\phi = \phi_M + i\phi_J, \quad \phi_M = \frac{\lambda^2 + \omega^2 - 1}{4\lambda}, \quad \phi_J = \frac{\omega}{2\lambda}$$

The multipole moments of M are then defined on $\tilde{V}$ as certain derivatives of the scalar potential $\tilde{\phi} = \phi/\sqrt{\Omega}$ at Λ . More explicitly, following [11], let $\tilde{R}_{ab}$ denote the Ricci tensor of $\tilde{V}$, and let $P = \tilde{\phi}$. Define the sequence $P, P_{a_1}, P_{a_1 a_2}, \dots$ of tensors recursively:

$$P_{a_1 \dots a_n} = C[\tilde{D}_{a_1} P_{a_2 \dots a_n} - \frac{(n-1)(2n-3)}{2} \tilde{R}_{a_1 a_2} P_{a_3 \dots a_n}], \quad (1)$$

where $C[\cdot]$ stands for taking the totally symmetric and trace-free part. The multipole moments of M are then defined as the tensors $P_{a_1 \dots a_n}$ at Λ . The requirement that all $P_{a_1 \dots a_n}$ be totally symmetric and trace-free makes the actual calculations non-trivial. In the axisymmetric case, however, we will see that the tensorial recursion can be replaced by a scalar recursion.

2.1 Multipole moments of axisymmetric spacetimes

If, in addition to the requirement that M is stationary and asymptotically flat, we also impose the condition that M is axisymmetric, the metric can be written in the following canonical form [22]

$$ds^2 = -\lambda(dt - Wd\varphi)^2 + \lambda^{-1}(R^2d\varphi^2 + e^{2\beta}(dR^2 + dZ^2)), \quad (2)$$

where $\xi^a = (\frac{\partial}{\partial t})^a$ and $(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi})^a$ are the timelike and axial Killing vectors. This implies that the metric on V is

$$h_{ab} = \lambda g_{ab} + \xi_a \xi_b \sim R^2 d\varphi^2 + e^{2\beta}(dR^2 + dZ^2).$$

To conformally compactify V , we define new variables $\tilde{\rho}$, $\tilde{z}$ and r, θ via $\tilde{\rho} = \frac{R}{R^2 + Z^2} = r \sin \theta$, $\tilde{z} = \frac{Z}{R^2 + Z^2} = r \cos \theta$ and put $\hat{\Omega} = r^2 e^{-\beta}$. We then get the rescaled metric, i.e., the metric on $\tilde{V}$ as

$$\hat{h}_{ab} = \hat{\Omega}^2 h_{ab} \sim \tilde{\rho}^2 e^{-2\beta} d\varphi^2 + d\tilde{\rho}^2 + d\tilde{z}^2 = r^2 \sin^2 \theta e^{-2\beta} d\varphi^2 + dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2. \quad (3)$$

Therefore we can assume that the rescaled metric has the form (3), where the infinity point Λ corresponds to the point $r = 0$. However other choices of variables and conformal factors may also give the rescaled metric the form (3). Here we only require that the rescaled metric has the form (3), but we do not require that the original metric has the form (2).

The conformal factor $\hat{\Omega}$ is not uniquely determined. One can make a further conformal transformation of $\tilde{V}$, using as conformal factor e^κ , where κ is any smooth function on $\tilde{V}$ with $\kappa(\Lambda) = 0$. Thus κ reflects the freedom in choosing $\hat{\Omega}$. Of particular importance is the value of $(\nabla_a \kappa)(\Lambda) = \kappa'(0)$. Namely, under a change $\hat{\Omega} \rightarrow \Omega = \hat{\Omega} e^\kappa$, a non-zero $\kappa'(0)$ changes the moments defined by (1) in a way which corresponds to a ‘translation’ of the physical space [9]. With this extra conformal factor the metric becomes

$$\tilde{h}_{ab} \sim e^{2\kappa}(\tilde{\rho}^2 e^{-2\beta} d\varphi^2 + d\tilde{\rho}^2 + d\tilde{z}^2) = e^{2\kappa}(r^2 \sin^2 \theta e^{-2\beta} d\varphi^2 + dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2). \quad (4)$$

By choosing $\kappa'(0)$ such that the expansion is taken around the generalised centre of mass [1], the multipole moments are fixed and invariant under the restricted remaining conformal freedom. Also, from their very construction, the multipole moments are coordinate-independent.

3 Multipole moments through a scalar recursion on $\mathbb{R}^2$

In this section, we will show how the assumption of axisymmetry allows us to replace the tensors in (1) by family f_n of recursively defined functions on $\mathbb{R}^2$. The multipole moments of M will appear as the values of f_n at the origin point. The reason that this works is that the following lemma holds:

Lemma 1 *Suppose $\tilde{V}$ is a 3-manifold with metric given by (4). Then there exists a regularly direction dependent (at Λ) vector field η^a with the following properties:*

- a) *For all tensors $T_{a_1 \dots a_n}$, $\eta^{a_1} \dots \eta^{a_n} T_{a_1 \dots a_n} = \eta^{a_1} \dots \eta^{a_n} C[T_{a_1 \dots a_n}]$,*
- b) *At Λ , $P_{a_1 \dots a_n}$ is determined by $\eta^{a_1} \dots \eta^{a_n} P_{a_1 \dots a_n}$*
- c) *$\eta^a \tilde{D}_a \eta^b$ is parallel to η^b .*

Proof:

a) It is sufficient to require that $\eta_a \eta^a = 0$, i.e., that η^a is a complex null vector on $\tilde{V}$. To get the totally symmetric and trace-free part of a tensor $T_{a_1 \dots a_n}$ we first symmetrize and define $S_{a_1 \dots a_n} = T_{(a_1 \dots a_n)}$. We then subtract all traces to get $C[T_{a_1 \dots a_n}] = S_{a_1 \dots a_n} - \gamma(n) \tilde{h}_{(a_1 a_2} S_{a_3 \dots a_n)}^b - \delta(n) \tilde{h}_{(a_1 a_2} h_{a_3 a_4} S_{a_5 \dots a_n)}^b{}^c - \dots$, where $\gamma(n), \delta(n), \dots$ have their appropriate values and where all terms except the first contain $\tilde{h}_{a_i a_j}$ for some i, j . From $\eta_a \eta^a = 0$ it follows that $\eta^{a_1} \dots \eta^{a_n} C[T_{a_1 \dots a_n}] = \eta^{a_1} \dots \eta^{a_n} S_{a_1 \dots a_n} = \eta^{a_1} \dots \eta^{a_n} T_{a_1 \dots a_n}$, where the last equality follows from the fact that $\eta^{a_1} \dots \eta^{a_n}$ is totally symmetric.

b) Define

$$\eta^a = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}} \right)^a - i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\rho}} \right)^a = e^{-i\theta} \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right)^a - \frac{i}{r} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right)^a \right). \quad (5)$$

We see that $\eta_a \eta^a = 0$ so a) is valid. The axisymmetry implies [9] that at Λ , $P_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}$ is proportional to $C[z_{a_1} z_{a_2} \dots z_{a_n}]$, i.e.,

$$P_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}(\Lambda) = m_n C[z_{a_1} z_{a_2} \dots z_{a_n}], \quad (6)$$

where $z_a = (d\tilde{z})_a$ is the direction along the symmetry axis. Hence, at Λ ,

$$\eta^{a_1} \dots \eta^{a_n} P_{a_1 \dots a_n} = m_n \eta^{a_1} \dots \eta^{a_n} z_{a_1} \dots z_{a_n} = m_n. \quad (7)$$

Therefore, at Λ , $P_{a_1 \dots a_n}$ is determined by $\eta^{a_1} \dots \eta^{a_n} P_{a_1 \dots a_n}$.

c) With η^a defined by (5), and using the metric (4), a direct calculation gives

$$\eta^a \tilde{D}_a \eta^b = 2\eta^b \eta^c \tilde{D}_c \kappa. \quad (8)$$

Note that the special case $\kappa = 0$, i.e., when the metric is of the form (3), gives $\eta^a \tilde{D}_a \eta^b = \eta^a \hat{D}_a \eta^b = 0$. It is also important to note that when we interpret κ, β, ϕ as functions of two variables, say, $\tilde{z}, \tilde{\rho}$, that they are defined in the half-space $\tilde{\rho} \geq 0$, but that they can be naturally extended to all $\tilde{\rho}$ via $\beta(\tilde{z}, -\tilde{\rho}) = \beta(\tilde{z}, \tilde{\rho})$, etc., so that they are even in $\tilde{\rho}$. Moreover, η^a is direction dependent at Λ when regarded as a vector field on $\tilde{V}$, but not when regarded as a vector field on the half-space $\tilde{\rho} \geq 0$. Moreover, η^a can be naturally and smoothly extended to $\tilde{\rho} < 0$, and in particular, derivatives like $\eta^a \tilde{\nabla}_a \beta$ will then be smooth at Λ but not necessarily even when extended to all values of $\tilde{\rho}$.

We are now ready to simplify the recursion (1). We start by defining

$$f_n = \eta^{a_1} \eta^{a_2} \dots \eta^{a_n} P_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (9)$$

In particular, $f_0 = P = \tilde{\phi} = \Omega^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi$. By contracting (1) with η^a we get the following theorem.

Theorem 2 *Let η^a have the properties given by lemma 1, and let f_n be defined by (9). We then have the recursion*

$$f_n = \eta^a \tilde{D}_a f_{n-1} - 2(n-1) f_{n-1} \eta^a \tilde{D}_a \kappa - \frac{(n-1)(2n-3)}{2} \eta^a \eta^b \tilde{R}_{ab} f_{n-2}, \quad (10)$$

where the moments m_n are given by $m_n = f_n(\Lambda)$.

Proof:

Let

$$T_{a_1 \dots a_n} = \tilde{D}_{a_1} P_{a_2 \dots a_n} - \frac{(n-1)(2n-3)}{2} \tilde{R}_{a_1 a_2} P_{a_3 \dots a_n}. \quad (11)$$

Using the recursion (1) and the properties of η^a we get

$$\begin{aligned} f_n &= \eta^{a_1} \dots \eta^{a_n} C[T_{a_1 \dots a_n}] = \eta^{a_1} \dots \eta^{a_n} T_{a_1 \dots a_n} \\ &= \eta^{a_2} \dots \eta^{a_n} \eta^{a_1} \tilde{D}_{a_1} P_{a_2 \dots a_n} - \frac{(n-1)(2n-3)}{2} \eta^{a_1} \eta^{a_2} \tilde{R}_{a_1 a_2} \eta^{a_3} \dots \eta^{a_n} P_{a_3 \dots a_n} \\ &= \eta^a \tilde{D}_a f_{n-1} - P_{a_2 \dots a_n} \eta^a \tilde{D}_a (\eta^{a_2} \dots \eta^{a_n}) - \frac{(n-1)(2n-3)}{2} \eta^a \eta^b \tilde{R}_{ab} f_{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (8) we get (10). By comparing (7) and (9), we see that $m_n = f_n(\Lambda)$.

Note that the proof was carried out in $\tilde{V}$, but the resulting scalar recursion is most conveniently regarded as defined on $\mathbb{R}^2$ with Cartesian coordinates $\tilde{z}, \tilde{\rho}$ or polar coordinates r, θ . In $\eta^a \eta^b \tilde{R}_{ab}$, $\tilde{R}_{ab}$ refers to the Ricci tensor of $\tilde{V}$; using the metric (4) one readily finds

$$\eta^a \eta^b \tilde{R}_{ab} = \eta^a \tilde{D}_a (\eta^b \tilde{D}_b \beta) - (\eta^a \tilde{D}_a \beta)^2 - \frac{2i}{\tilde{\rho}} \eta^a \tilde{D}_a \beta - \eta^a \tilde{D}_a (\eta^b \tilde{D}_b \kappa) + (\eta^a \tilde{D}_a \kappa)^2. \quad (12)$$

Remark:

As well as different sign conventions some authors use the convention $M_n = \frac{1}{n!} \tilde{z}^{a_1} \dots \tilde{z}^{a_n} P_{a_1 \dots a_n}(\Lambda)$. This implies that $M_n = \pm \frac{2^n n!}{(2n)!} m_n$.

4 Multipole moments through a scalar recursion on $\mathbb{R}$

In this section we show that we can reduce the problem from scalar fields of two variables to scalar fields of one variable. So far we have not required analyticity of the fields. There are several proofs of analyticity for the potential and the metric after a special rescaling in the case with non-zero mass [2] [14]. However, since we don't want to exclude spacetimes with zero mass, analyticity will be assumed explicitly. In this article, analyticity will always be taken in the 'real' sense, i.e. that the fields are given by power series with positive radii of convergence. In the cases where the results of this paper are used to compute the multipole moments for a certain spacetime, it is easy to check analyticity.

Lemma 3 *Let β come from (3), $\tilde{\phi}$ be the rescaled (axisymmetric) potential, and suppose that β and $\tilde{\phi}$ are analytic in a neighbourhood of $\Lambda \in \tilde{V}$. Then $\beta(\tilde{z}, \tilde{\rho})$ contains a factor $\tilde{\rho}^2$. Furthermore, viewed as a functions on $\mathbb{R}^2$, $\eta^a \eta^b \tilde{R}_{ab}$ and f_n are analytic in $(\tilde{z}, \tilde{\rho})$ in a neighbourhood of $(0, 0)$.*

Proof:

First we establish that β contains a factor $\tilde{\rho}^2$. Consider an orbit $\Gamma : \{\tilde{\rho} = \rho_0\}$ in the surface $\tilde{z} = z_0$. The circumference $C(z_0, \rho_0) = \int_{\Gamma} ds = \int_0^{2\pi} \rho_0 e^{-\beta(z_0, \rho_0)} d\phi = 2\pi \rho_0 e^{-\beta(z_0, \rho_0)}$. We also know [3] that $C(z_0, \rho_0) = 2\pi \rho_0 - \frac{\pi K_0 \rho_0^3}{3} + \mathcal{O}(\rho_0^4)$, where K_0 is the scalar curvature at $\tilde{\rho} = 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\pi K_0}{3} &= \lim_{\rho_0 \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{2\pi \rho_0 - C(z_0, \rho_0)}{\rho_0^3} \\ &= \lim_{\rho_0 \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{2\pi}{\rho_0^2} (1 - e^{-\beta(z_0, \rho_0)}) = \lim_{\rho_0 \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{2\pi \beta(z_0, \rho_0)}{\rho_0^2} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\beta(z_0, \rho_0))). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{z} = z_0$ is a smooth manifold, K_0 is finite, and we have that $\beta(\tilde{z}, \tilde{\rho})$ contains the factor $\tilde{\rho}^2 = r^2 \sin^2 \theta$. From this we see that $\frac{2i}{\tilde{\rho}} \eta^a \tilde{D}_a \beta$ is analytic in $(\tilde{z}, \tilde{\rho})$ and consequently all terms in (12) are analytic in $(\tilde{z}, \tilde{\rho})$. The analyticity of $f_0 = \tilde{\phi}$ is assumed. It follows inductively from (10) that all f_n are analytic in $(\tilde{z}, \tilde{\rho})$.

To obtain a scalar recursion on $\mathbb{R}$, we must extract/define functions of one real variable from our given functions on $\mathbb{R}^2$, where the latter are supposed to be analytic in terms of $(\tilde{z}, \tilde{\rho})$.

Definition 4 Suppose that $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an analytic function of two variables in a neighbourhood of the origin. We then define the leading order function g_L on $\mathbb{R}$ via $g_L(r) = g(r, -ir)$.

Note that the analyticity condition allows us to write $g(\tilde{z}, \tilde{\rho}) = \sum_0^\infty \sum_0^\infty a_{nk} \tilde{z}^n \tilde{\rho}^k$ for $(\tilde{z}, \tilde{\rho})$ in some disc $B_\delta(0)$ centred at the origin. Thus $\tilde{z}, \tilde{\rho}$ can be allowed to be complex in $B_\delta(0)$ and the definition $g_L(r) = g(r, -ir)$ makes sense as long as $r\sqrt{2} < \delta$.

We will now fix η^a to be precisely $\eta^a = (\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}})^a - i(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\rho}})^a$. That the recursion (9) can be replaced by a recursion of the leading order functions, will then follow from the following lemma:

Lemma 5 If g satisfies the conditions of definition 4, $(\eta^a \tilde{D}_a g)_L(r) = g'_L(r)$.

Proof: Both sides equal $\frac{\partial g}{\partial \tilde{z}}(r, -ir) - i \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tilde{\rho}}(r, -ir)$.

We are now ready to derive the promised scalar recursion on $\mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 6 Suppose that the conditions in lemma 3 are met, and define $y_n(r) = (f_n(\tilde{z}, \tilde{\rho}))_L(r)$. Then the multipole moments m_n are given through the recursion

$$y_n = y'_{n-1} - 2(n-1)\kappa'_L y_{n-1} - \frac{(n-1)(2n-3)}{2} M y_{n-2}, \quad (13)$$

where

$$M(r) = \beta''_L - (\beta'_L)^2 + \frac{2}{r} \beta'_L - \kappa''_L + (\kappa'_L)^2 \quad (14)$$

and $m_n = y_n(0)$.

Proof: Taking the leading order part of (10), putting $M(r) = (\eta^a \eta^b \tilde{R}_{ab})_L(r)$, and applying lemma 5, we get (13). Also, $m_n = f_n(0, 0) = y_n(0)$.

5 All moments from one scalar function

The recursion (13) would simplify considerably if $M = 0$, since we then get a recursion of depth one. As is seen below, it is possible to choose κ_L such that $M = 0$. The simplified recursion is then solved by choosing a different radial parameter ρ . The choice of κ_L in order for $M = 0$ to hold in (13) can be viewed in two ways. Either, one starts with the spacetime cast in the form (4) and chose a particular κ , or else one uses the metric $\hat{h}_{ab}$ in the form (3) as the starting point. In the latter case, starting with $\hat{h}_{ab}$, we have the potential $\hat{\phi} = \phi/\sqrt{\Omega}$, and perform a second conformal rescaling $\Omega \rightarrow \Omega e^\kappa$ where κ is chosen such that κ_L solves equation (14) with $M = 0$. The new potential $\tilde{\phi}$ is then related to $\hat{\phi}$ via $\tilde{\phi} = e^{-\kappa/2} \hat{\phi}$. Although equivalent, the latter viewpoint can be advantageous when explicit spacetimes are considered (cf. section 6).

Theorem 7 Suppose that M is a stationary axisymmetric asymptotically flat spacetime, with V a conformal rescaling of the manifold of time-like Killing-trajectories, where the metric $\hat{h}_{ab}$ on $\tilde{V}$ has the form (3). Let $\hat{\phi}$ be the conformally rescaled potential. Furthermore, assume that $\hat{\phi}$ and β are analytic in a neighbourhood of $\Lambda \in \tilde{V}$. Choose κ such that, for some constant C ,

$$\kappa_L(r) = -\ln(1 - r \int_0^r \frac{e^{2\beta_L(r)} - 1}{r^2} dr - rC) + \beta_L(r), \quad (15)$$

thereby inducing the metric $\tilde{h}_{ab} = e^{2\kappa} \hat{h}_{ab}$. Define $r(\rho)$ implicitly by $\rho(r) = r e^{\kappa_L - \beta_L}$. Put $y(\rho) = \tilde{\phi}_L(r(\rho)) = e^{-\kappa_L(r(\rho))/2} \hat{\phi}_L(r(\rho))$. Then the multipole moments $m_0, m_1, \dots$ of M are given by $m_n = \frac{d^n y}{d\rho^n}(0)$.

Remark:

In the expression for κ_L , the constant C is seen to equal $\kappa'_L(0) = \kappa'(\Lambda)$. In particular one can choose $\kappa'_L(0)$ such that the expansion is taken around the generalised centre of mass. With this choice the multipole moments are unique. This is accomplished in the following way: If k is the first integer such that $m_k \neq 0$, we choose $\kappa'_L(0)$ so that $m_{k+1} = 0$. This is equivalent to the definition [1] of generalised centre of mass: $0 = P_{a_1 \dots a_{k+1}}(\Lambda) P^{a_1 \dots a_k}(\Lambda) = m_{k+1} m_k C[z_{a_1} \dots z_{a_{k+1}}] C[z^{a_1} \dots z^{a_k}] \propto m_{k+1} m_k z_{a_{k+1}}$. Note also that the non-leading terms of κ can be chosen arbitrarily.

Proof:

From lemma 3, it follows that $\beta_L(0) = \beta'_L(0) = 0$. Taking this into account, a direct insertion of (15) into (14) shows that $M(r) = 0$, i.e., this choice of κ_L solves the differential equation $M(r) = 0$. Therefore, with this choice of κ_L the recursion (13) reduces to

$$y_n = y'_{n-1} - 2(n-1)\kappa'_L y_{n-1}. \quad (16)$$

Put $z_n(r) = e^{-2n\kappa_L(r)} y_n(r)$ so that $z_n(0) = y_n(0) = m_n$. As in [12], (16) becomes

$$z_n(r) = e^{-2\kappa_L(r)} z'_{n-1}(r) \quad (17)$$

If ρ is such that $\frac{d\rho(r)}{dr} = e^{2\kappa_L(r)}$, we find that $z_n = \frac{dz_{n-1}}{d\rho} = \frac{dz_0}{d\rho^n}$. The specific choice (15) of κ_L implies that $r(\kappa'_L - \beta'_L) + 1 = e^{\kappa_L + \beta_L}$. Hence,

$$\rho(r) = \int_0^r e^{2\kappa_L} dr = \int_0^r (r(\kappa'_L - \beta'_L) + 1) e^{\kappa_L - \beta_L} dr = r e^{\kappa_L - \beta_L}. \quad (18)$$

Thus equation (18) implicitly defines $r(\rho)$ in a neighbourhood of $\rho = 0$. We can now define $y(\rho) = z_0(r(\rho)) = \tilde{\phi}_L(r(\rho))$ and get all the moments from the function $y(\rho)$:

$$m_n = \frac{d^n y}{d\rho^n}(0). \quad (19)$$

6 The Kerr solution

As an example of how our method can be used, we compute the multipole moments for the Kerr solution. Here we will not use the Weyl canonical coordinates because the expressions would be much longer than necessary. Instead we will

follow Hansen [11]. We begin with Boyer-Lindquist coordinates $(\tilde{r}, \theta, \varphi)$ and the metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{\tilde{r}^2 - 2m\tilde{r} + a^2 \cos^2 \theta}{\tilde{r}^2 - 2m\tilde{r} + a^2} d\tilde{r}^2 + (\tilde{r}^2 - 2m\tilde{r} + a^2 \cos^2 \theta) d\theta^2 + (\tilde{r}^2 - 2m\tilde{r} + a^2) d\varphi^2. \quad (20)$$

Following Hansen we define a new radial coordinate r through $\tilde{r} = r^{-1}(1 + mr + \frac{1}{4}(m^2 - a^2)r^2)$ and use the conformal factor $\hat{\Omega} = \frac{r^2}{\sqrt{(1 - \frac{1}{4}(m^2 - a^2)r^2)^2 - a^2 r^2 \sin^2 \theta}}$. Define $\tilde{z} = r \cos \theta$ and $\tilde{\rho} = r \sin \theta$. We then get

$$\beta(\tilde{z}, \tilde{\rho}) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1 - \frac{(4a\tilde{\rho})^2}{(4 - (m^2 - a^2)(\tilde{z}^2 + \tilde{\rho}^2))^2} \right), \quad (21)$$

$$\beta_L(r) = \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + a^2 r^2), \quad (22)$$

$$\hat{\phi}(\tilde{z}, \tilde{\rho}) = \frac{m(1 + \frac{1}{4}(m^2 - a^2)(\tilde{z}^2 + \tilde{\rho}^2) - ia\tilde{z})}{((1 - \frac{1}{4}(m^2 - a^2)(\tilde{z}^2 + \tilde{\rho}^2))^2 - a^2 \tilde{\rho}^2)^{\frac{3}{4}}}, \quad (23)$$

$$\hat{\phi}_L(r) = \frac{m(1 - iar)}{(1 + a^2 r^2)^{3/4}}. \quad (24)$$

Furthermore, from theorem 7 we get

$$\kappa_L(r) = -\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{(r^2 a^2 + r\kappa'(0) - 1)^2}{r^2 a^2 + 1} \right), \quad (25)$$

$$\rho(r) = \frac{r}{1 - r\kappa'(0) - r^2 a^2}, \quad (26)$$

$$r(\rho) = \frac{\sqrt{(\rho\kappa'(0) + 1)^2 + 4a^2 \rho^2} - \rho\kappa'(0) - 1}{2\rho a^2}, \quad (27)$$

$$y(\rho) = e^{-\kappa_L(r)/2} \hat{\phi}_L(r) = \frac{m\sqrt{1 - r\kappa'(0) - a^2 r^2}}{1 + iar} = \frac{m}{\sqrt{1 + (2ia + \kappa'(0))\rho}}. \quad (28)$$

Comparing with the Schwarzschild solution, $a = 0$, we see that the Kerr solution is in a sense an imaginary translation of the Schwarzschild spacetime. Similar descriptions can be found in [17] and [15].

With $\kappa'(0) = 0$, we get the expansion around the centre of mass:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m_n \rho^n}{n!} = y(\rho) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m(2ia\rho)^n \sqrt{\pi}}{n! \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - n)}. \quad (29)$$

With the conventions used by Hansen [11] we have

$$M_n = \frac{-2^n n!}{(2n)!} m_n = \frac{-2^n n! m (2ia)^n \sqrt{\pi}}{(2n)! \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - n)} = -(-i)^n m a^n. \quad (30)$$

Thus our calculation agrees with Hansen [11]. (In [11], the moments were given without a proof, see however [18] [20].)

7 Potentials

So far we have only used the complex version of the potential defined by Hansen [11]¹

$$\phi_H = -\frac{\lambda^2 - 1 + \omega^2 + 2i\omega}{4\lambda}, \quad (31)$$

and as Hansen points out, the choice of potential is not unique. This freedom of choice was addressed in [19] using a different but equivalent definition of multipole moments compared to the Geroch-Hansen definition. Here we will however only use theorem 6 to investigate the freedom in the choice of potential.

For instance, in the special case when the stationary axisymmetric spacetime is actually static, the Hansen potential reduces to

$$\phi_H = \frac{1 - \lambda^2}{4\lambda}. \quad (32)$$

This potential is not the same as the one given by Geroch, who uses²

$$\phi_G = \lambda^{-1/4}(1 - \sqrt{\lambda}). \quad (33)$$

It is not obvious that (32) and (33) give the same sequence of multipole moments. This was however shown in [19]. The following theorem proves the same thing in the axisymmetric case using a different technique.

Theorem 8 *Suppose that the spacetime M is static and axisymmetric. Then the potentials (32) and (33) produce the same moments.*

Proof:

We may assume that the coordinates are chosen in the way described in section 2.1 with $\kappa = 0$, i.e., $\tilde{\Omega} = r^2 e^{-\beta} = (\tilde{\rho}^2 + \tilde{z}^2)e^{-\beta}$; in other words we use Weyl coordinates. With this choice of coordinates, $\tilde{\alpha} = -\frac{\ln \lambda}{2r}$ is (flat-) harmonic with respect to $(\tilde{z}, \tilde{\rho}, \varphi)$ taken as cylindrical coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^3$. From this it follows that $\frac{\phi_G}{r} = \frac{2}{r} \sinh \frac{\tilde{\alpha} r}{2}$ is analytic near $\Lambda \in \tilde{V}$, since $\frac{2}{r} \sinh \frac{\tilde{\alpha} r}{2}$ is even in r . It also follows from the explicit form of β in [12] that β is analytic and thus Ω is analytic with $\Omega_L = 0$. From the definitions (32) and (33) we see that

$$\tilde{\phi}_H = \frac{\tilde{\phi}_G}{4}(\Omega \tilde{\phi}_G^2 + 2)\sqrt{\Omega \tilde{\phi}_G^2 + 4}, \quad (34)$$

so that $\tilde{\phi}_H$ is also analytic. Taking leading order functions on both sides implies $(\tilde{\phi}_H)_L = (\tilde{\phi}_G)_L$. Referring to theorem 6, this is sufficient for both potentials to generate the same multipole moments.

Now consider the stationary case again. Assume that $\tilde{\phi}_H$ and Ω are analytic functions in a neighbourhood of Λ . The same will then hold for $\tilde{\phi}_M$ and $\tilde{\phi}_J$, the real and imaginary part of $\tilde{\phi}_H$ respectively. Asymptotic flatness implies that $\Omega_L = 0$. Using theorem 6 we see that we can in fact choose any well behaved potential that satisfies $(\tilde{\phi})_L = (\tilde{\phi}_H)_L$ to get the same moments. For instance if we wanted to use the Ernst potential [7], [8] instead,

$$\phi_E = \frac{1 - \lambda - i\omega}{1 + \lambda + i\omega}, \quad (35)$$

¹We have changed sign compared to [11]

²Again, we have changed sign. The factor $\lambda^{-1/4}$ comes from different definitions of the metric for V .

we see that

$$\tilde{\phi}_E = \frac{2\tilde{\phi}_H}{1 + \sqrt{4\Omega\tilde{\phi}_M^2 + 4\Omega\tilde{\phi}_J^2 + 1}}. \quad (36)$$

Taking leading order functions of both sides, we find that $(\tilde{\phi}_E)_L = (\tilde{\phi}_H)_L$, and thus the potentials produce the same moments. This proves the following theorem.

Theorem 9 *Suppose that the spacetime M is stationary and axisymmetric. Then the potentials (31) and (35) produce the same moments.*

Remark

This result could also be obtained easily by the method in [19].

8 Discussion

We have shown that the relatively complicated tensorial recursion (1) of Geroch and Hansen can, in the stationary axisymmetric case, be reduced to a recursion of scalar functions. Furthermore, we have demonstrated how a careful choice of conformal factor collects all moments into one complex valued function on $\mathbb{R}$, where the moments appear as the derivatives at 0. These results reduce to the results in [12] in the static case. The main ideas in [12] and in this paper are similar, but here we have simplified the proofs as well as generalised the results. As an application of this method, we have shown how easily the moments of the Kerr solution follow. We have also seen that there is a great freedom in the choice of the potential. This can possibly be used to simplify the field equations expressed in terms of the potential.

In [5] it was shown how to obtain the metric for a static axisymmetric spacetime with prescribed multipole moments. With the methods presented here it is natural to try to extend these results to the stationary case. Such results would be very desirable due to the physical relevance of the multipole moments. For instance, axisymmetric stationary solutions are good approximations for astrophysical objects.

It would also be interesting to address the growth condition of the multipole moments for existence of stationary spacetimes. Another natural extension of the work presented here is of course the general non-axisymmetric case.

References

- [1] Beig, R., *The Multipole Expansion in General Relativity*, Acta Physica Austriaca, **53**, 249 (1981).
- [2] Beig, R., Simon, W., *On the multipole expansion for stationary space-times*, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A, **376**, 333 (1981).
- [3] Bianchi, L., *Vorlesungen über Differentialgeometrie*, p 161, Leipzig 1910.
- [4] van der Burg, M.G.J., *Multipole expansions for stationary fields in general relativity*, Proc. R. Soc. A, **303**, 37 (1968).

- [5] Bäckdahl, T., Herberthson, M., *Static axisymmetric spacetimes with prescribed multipole moments*, Class. Quantum Grav. **22**, 1607 (2005).
- [6] Clarke, C.J.S., Sciama, D.W., *Static gravitational multipoles—the connection between field and source in general relativity.*, Gen. Rel. Grav., **2**, 331 (1971).
- [7] Ernst, F., *New Formulation of the Axially Symmetric Gravitational Field Problem. II* Phys. Rev., **168**, 1415 (1968).
- [8] Fodor, G., Hoenselaers, C., Perjés, Z., *Multipole moments of axisymmetric systems in relativity.*, J. Math. Phys., **30**, 2252 (1989).
- [9] Geroch, R., *Multipole Moments. II. Curved Space*, J. Math. Phys., **11**, 2580 (1970).
- [10] Gürsel, Y., *Multipole moments for stationary systems - The equivalence of the Geroch Hansen formulation and the Thorne formulation*, Gen. Rel. Grav., **15**, 737 (1983).
- [11] Hansen, R.O., *Multipole moments of stationary space-times*, J. Math. Phys., **15**, 46 (1974).
- [12] Herberthson, M., *The gravitational dipole and explicit multipole moments of static axisymmetric space-times*, Class. Quantum Grav. **21**, 5121 (2004).
- [13] Janis, A.I., Newman, E.T., *Structure of Gravitational Sources*, J. Math. Phys., **6**, 902 (1965).
- [14] Kundu, P., *On the analyticity of stationary gravitational fields at spatial infinity*, J. Math. Phys., **22**, 2006 (1981).
- [15] Newman, E.T., Janis, A.I., *Note on the Kerr Spinning-Particle Metric*, J. Math. Phys., **6**, 915 (1965).
- [16] Quevedo, H., *Multipole Moments in General Relativity - Static and Stationary Vacuum Solutions*, Fortschritte der physik, **38**, 733 (1990).
- [17] Schiffer, M.M., Adler, R.J., Mark, J., Sheffield, C., *Kerr geometry as a complexified Schwarzschild geometry*, J. Math. Phys., **14**, 52 (1972).
- [18] Simon, W., *Characterizations of the Kerr Metric*, Gen. Rel. Grav., **16**, 465 (1984).
- [19] Simon, W., Beig, R., *The multipole structure of stationary space-times*, J. Math. Phys., **24**, 1163 (1983).
- [20] Sotiriou, T., Apostolatos, A., *Corrections and comments on the multipole moments of axisymmetric electrovacuum spacetimes*, Class. Quantum Grav., **21**, 5727 (2004).
- [21] Thorne, K.S., *Multipole expansions of gravitational radiation*, Rev. Mod. Phys., **52**, 299 (1980).
- [22] Wald, R.M., *General Relativity*, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago 1984.